



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/755,065	01/09/2004	Faramarz Sahim	2003P06989 US	8137	
7590 10/12/2005		EXAMINER			
Elsa Keller			GARY, ERIKA A		
Siemens Corpor	ration				
Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
170 Wood Avenue South			2681		
Iselin, NJ 088	30		DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/755,065	SAHIM ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Erika A. Gary	2681	
_	The MAILING DATE of this communication			ess
Period f	or Reply	••	,	
WHI0 - Exte afte: - If NO - Fail: Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the meted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Peply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	
Status				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	0. January 2004		
2a)□		This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo		ers incresecution as to the m	arite ie
,	closed in accordance with the practice under			icitis is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
· · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion	·	
• / ٢	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without the application of the above claim(s) is/are without the application of the above claim(s) is/are without the application of the above claim(s) is/are periodical for the application of the above claim(s) is/are periodical for the application of t			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	diaminioni consideration.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	ninor	•	
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 January 2004</u> is/s		piected to by the Everniner	
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con			1 121(d)
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•	() (=) == (-)	
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	•	•
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	pplication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been i	eceived in this National Sta	age
	application from the International Bur			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
	•			
Attachmen				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) ∐ Notic 3) ⊠ Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No(s) (8) ∑ Notice of Inf	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	i 2 1
Pape	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		-,

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 5-9 are objected to because of the following informalities: claims 5-9 should depend from claim 4, not claim 2, to avoid lack of antecedent basis for "said non-WLAN cellular telephone". Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 33 and 34 are objected to because of the following informalities: claims 33 and 34 should depend from claim 32, not claim 29, to avoid lack of antecedent basis for "said user interface". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 18 recites the limitation "said call Internet Protocol connectivity" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 23 recites the limitation "said original device" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2681

5. Claims 1-19, 25-32, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by applicant's submission of prior art, Bridgelall, US Patent Application Publication Number 2002/0085516 (hereinafter Bridgelall).

Regarding claims 1 and 29, Bridgelall discloses a method (and apparatus) for handing over an active call between a first call device and a second call device comprising the steps of: automatically detecting call handover threshold for said first call device; selecting said second call device from a set of previously defined target handover devices; and establishing a connection to said second call device upon acceptance of said call by said second call device [paragraph 0011].

Regarding claims 2 and 30, Bridgelall discloses the first call device is a non-WLAN device [paragraph 0011].

Regarding claims 3 and 31, Bridgelall discloses the first call device is a WLAN device [paragraph 0011].

Regarding claim 4, Bridgelall discloses the non-WLAN device is a cellular telephone [paragraph 0011].

Regarding claims 5-9, it is inherent in the art that non-WLAN cellular telephones use 3G air interface technology, TDMA, GSM, CDMA, or UMTS technology.

Regarding claim 10, Bridgelall discloses said non-WLAN device is an office wireline telephone [paragraph 0011].

Regarding claim 11, Bridgelall discloses the WLAN device is a personal digital assistant [paragraph 0032].

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claims 12 and 13, Bridgelall discloses the first and second call devices support both WLAN and non-WLAN communications [paragraph 0011].

Regarding claims 14 and 36, Bridgelall discloses the first and second call devices are the same [paragraph 0011].

Regarding claim 15, Bridgelall discloses the first and second call devices are integrated as a single call device [paragraph 0011].

Regarding claim 16, Bridgelall discloses dialing a telephone number of the second call device after selecting the second call device [paragraph 0011].

Regarding claim 17, Bridgelall discloses disconnecting the call from the first call device after establishing the connection to the second call device [paragraph 0011].

Regarding claim 18, Bridgelall discloses the handover threshold is reached when Internet Protocol connectivity is lost [paragraph 0011].

Regarding claim 19, Bridgelall discloses the handover threshold is determined based on radio frequency signal strength of the active call [paragraphs 0011, 0033].

Regarding claim 25, it is inherent in the art that multiple handovers can be performed per call.

Regarding claim 26, Bridgelall discloses a user can associate personalized settings and telephony features with said handover devices [paragraph 0011].

Regarding claim 27, Bridgelall discloses the call handover threshold is determined based on available resources in the network of the target device [paragraph 0011].

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claim 28, Bridgelall discloses the call handover threshold is determined based on at least one of call priority or desired call Quality of Service of said call [paragraph 0011].

Regarding claim 32, Bridgelall discloses a user interface for setting handover targets and preferences [paragraph 0011].

6. Claims 1-15, 19-25, 27-32, 35, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ostling, US Patent Number 6,327,470 (hereinafter Ostling).

Regarding claims 1 and 29, Ostling discloses a method (and apparatus) for handing over an active call between a first call device and a second call device comprising the steps of: automatically detecting call handover threshold for said first call device; selecting said second call device from a set of previously defined target handover devices; and establishing a connection to said second call device upon acceptance of said call by said second call device [abstract; col. 2: line 60 – col. 3: line 11].

Regarding claims 2 and 30, Ostling discloses the first call device is a non-WLAN device [col. 2: line 60 – col. 3: line 11].

Regarding claims 3 and 31, Ostling discloses the first call device is a WLAN device [col. 2: line 60 – col. 3: line 11].

Regarding claim 4, Ostling discloses the non-WLAN device is a cellular telephone [col. 2: line 60 – col. 3: line 11].

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claims 5-9, Ostling discloses the non-WLAN cellular telephones use 3G air interface technology, TDMA, GSM, CDMA, or UMTS technology [col. 5: lines 32-35].

Regarding claim 10, Ostling discloses said non-WLAN device is an office wireline telephone [col. 2: line 60 – col. 3: line 11].

Regarding claim 11, Ostling discloses the WLAN device is a personal digital assistant [col. 2: line 60 – col. 3: line 11].

Regarding claims 12 and 13, Ostling discloses the first and second call devices support both WLAN and non-WLAN communications [col. 2: line 60 – col. 3: line 11].

Regarding claims 14 and 36, Ostling discloses the first and second call devices are the same [col. 2: line 60 – col. 3: line 11].

Regarding claim 15, Ostling discloses the first and second call devices are integrated as a single call device [col. 2: line 60 – col. 3: line 11].

Regarding claim 19, Ostling discloses the handover threshold is determined based on radio frequency signal strength of the active call [col. 3: lines 54-59].

Regarding claim 20, Ostling discloses handover is performed on-demand prior to reaching said handover threshold [col. 4: line 65 – col. 5: line 8].

Regarding claim 21, Ostling discloses selection of the target device is performed by the caller [col. 4: line 65 – col. 5: line 8].

Regarding claim 22, Ostling discloses a user access code is used to perform said on-demand handover [col. 4: line 65 – col. 5: line 8].

Regarding claim 23, Ostling discloses a user access code is used to select telephony features for transfer from an original device to said target device [col. 4: line 65 – col. 5: line 8].

Regarding claim 24, Ostling discloses said call remains active after the handover is complete [col. 4: line 65 – col. 5: line 8].

Regarding claim 25, it is inherent in the art that multiple handovers can be performed per call.

Regarding claim 27, Ostling discloses the call handover threshold is determined based on available resources in the network of the target device [col. 4: line 65 – col. 5: line 8]

Regarding claim 28, Ostling discloses the call handover threshold is determined based on at least one of call priority or desired call Quality of Service of said call [col. 4: line 65 – col. 5: line 8]

Regarding claim 32, Ostling discloses a user interface for setting handover targets and preferences [fig. 4].

Regarding claim 35, it is inherent in the art to include a voice prompt for notifying a call party when a handover is in progress.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2681

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ostling.

Regarding claims 33 and 34, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known in the art to provide a user interface via a dial up connection or personal computer. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ostling to include this feature. The motivation would have been to provide the user with various means to set their user preferences.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Haartsen, US Patent Number 6,112,088, discloses a radio communications system and method for mobile assisted handover between a private network and a public mobile network.

Bannister et al., US Patent Number 5,668,862, disclose a method and apparatus for providing user controlled call management services.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erika A. Gary whose telephone number is 571-272-7841. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 2681

Page 9

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EAG October 5, 2005 ERIKA Ä. GARY PRIMARY EXAMINER